Improving the Capacity Factor and Stability of Multi-MW Grid Connected PV Systems with Results from a 1MW/2MWh Battery Demonstrator
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Abstract—Conventional PV systems integrated with a battery connect the array and the energy storage unit to a dc-link through individual dc-dc converters for maximum power point tracking (MPPT) and battery charge control. This paper proposes a new system configuration, which connects the PV array and battery unit to the dc-link of the system inverter via a single dc-dc converter capable of simultaneously operating as a charge controller and MPPT device. This dc-dc converter is controlled such that it charges/discharges the battery with the amount of power required to maintain the PV array at its MPPT reference voltage. The proposed system ensures that the PV array operates at its MPP for all irradiance conditions, therefore increasing the PV system capacity factor as well as ensuring MPPT stability for all irradiance conditions. Also, this configuration may also be adopted for PV power smoothing, where the curtailed power may be used to smooth the PV inverter output without sacrificing battery state of charge (SOC). The behavior of the proposed system is studied and simulated in PSCAD/EMTDC. The computations are compared with experimental data retrieved from the LG&E and KU E.W. Brown universal solar facility, which houses a 10MW(ac) PV farm and a 1MW/2MWh battery energy storage system (BESS). The results show that for the examples considered, and allowing curtailment adapted to the current power ratings of the system, an increase in the capacity factor of up to 20% is possible.

Index Terms—PV, battery, MPPT, grid connected inverter, dc-dc converter, charge controller, energy storage.

I. INTRODUCTION

The photovoltaic (PV) energy installations are fast growing both for residential applications, as well as for utility sized power plants [1]. Solar PV generation is intermittent in nature, and much of the associated research focuses on employing battery energy storage systems (BESS) in order to mitigate this inherent limitation. Power electronic devices play major roles in PV and BESS integration, fulfilling multiple functions including ac-dc transformation, PV maximum power point tracking (MPPT), and battery charge control [2].

Analyses have shown substantial benefits of single-stage grid connected PV systems over two-stage PV systems, some of which includes: lower cost, smaller system size, and higher efficiency [3], [4]. Configurations with PV systems incorporating BESS typically introduce two additional dc-dc converters, which increase the system complexity and reduce its overall efficiency due to losses in the supplementary components [5]–[8]. These configurations interface the battery pack and PV array to the inverter dc-link via individual dc-dc converters (Fig. 1a). For such topologies, the PV array dc-dc converter ensures that the PV array voltage corresponds to its MPP and the battery dc-dc converter serves as a charge controller that regulates the inverter dc link voltage [9]–[11].

Other configurations for battery integrated PV systems using a single dc-dc converter have been presented in literature. In [12], the battery is directly connected to the dc bus of a two-stage converter, which ensures simplicity, but leads to additional losses in the dc-dc converter when the battery is not operational, further affecting the battery over-voltage protection and the effectiveness of the control for the battery charge and discharge operations. Recent research has proposed connecting the BESS to the grid through its own inverter (Fig. 1b). This configuration allows the PV and the BESS to operate as independent systems [13], [14]. However, the configuration is less efficient, since power needs to flow through two ac-dc converters when charging the battery with the PV power. Also, the PV dc-ac converter needs to have similar ratings as the PV array in order to maintain it at MPP for all irradiance conditions when using an independent BESS, which leads to a substantial reduction in the utilization factor of such ac-dc converter installation.

II. PROPOSED SYSTEM CONFIGURATION

The multi-MW PV system configuration proposed in this paper is divided into multiple modular sections, where each includes a PV array, battery unit, bidirectional dc-dc converter, two level grid connected inverter and transformer (Fig. 1c). The utilized dc-dc converter operates simultaneously as a charge and as an MPPT controller by varying the charge/discharge power of the battery pack in order to maintain the PV array at the voltage corresponding to its MPP. This proposed configuration allows the battery integrated PV system to operate as a single stage PV system during periods when the battery is not operational. Also, the proposed configuration...
Battery energy storage system(s) are expected to play a significant role in the integration of renewable energy sources into the future electric grid. Typical field implementation of Multi-MW PV systems exists as single stage systems, which includes multiple sections of PV arrays interfaced with the grid via a dc-ac converter capable of performing MPPT. The proposed configuration may be used to enhance the operation of this existing systems by connecting a battery pack via a bidirectional dc-dc converter to the existing inverter dc link. Depending on the power and energy rating of the integrated BESS, the proposed system may be used to perform operations such as, PV output power smoothing, PV constant power production and peak shifting.

A. PV array

The field implemented PV system consist of ten PV arrays, each made up of 19 Jinko JKM315P-72 PV modules connected in series and an average of 236 module strings in parallel. An equivalent PV array was modeled in PSCAD™/EMTDC™ with each 315W PV panel rated at 46.75V open circuit voltage and 9.02A short circuit current. The equivalent circuit of the PV cell was modeled based on

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{i} &= \text{i}_g - \text{i}_o \left[ \exp \left( \frac{v + i R_{sr}}{nKT_c/q} \right) - 1 \right] - \left( \frac{v + i R_{sr}}{R_{sh}} \right),
\end{align*}
\]

where, \(i\) represents the cell output current; \(i_g\), the component of cell current due to photons; \(i_o\), the saturation current; \(K\), the Boltzmann constant (\(K = 1.38 \times 10^{-23} \text{J/K}\)); \(q\), the electron charge (\(q = 1.6 \times 10^{-19} \text{C}\)); \(v\), the output voltage; \(T_c\), the cell temperature; \(R_{sh}\), the shunt resistance and \(R_{sr}\), the series resistance.

B. Battery

The field implemented energy storage site consists of two shipping containers (Fig. 3) with multiple Li-ion LG chem battery modules, each rated for 51.8V/126Ah connected in series and parallel to make up a 1MW/2MWh battery pack.
the battery energy storage system (BESS) setup at E.W Brown LG&E KU facility rated 1MW/2MWh. (a) Two parallel battery container units directly connected to the dc link of the bidirectional dc-ac converter, (b) SCADA room for high resolution data management and system control. The experimental facility may be operated in islanded mode with the 1MV A load bank connected to the secondary side of the transformer.

C. Bidirectional dc-dc converter

The bidirectional dc-dc converter (Buck/Boost) employed for the proposed configuration consists of two IGBT switches, inductor and capacitor (Fig. 4). Depending on the IGBT switches input signal, this converter is capable operating as either a boost or buck converter. In conventional single stage PV systems, the PV array terminal voltage deviates from its MPP and tends toward open circuit voltage when the inverter reference power is less than the array available power or short circuit when the inverter reference power is higher than the array available power.

The proposed configuration ensures MPPT stability by maintaining the PV array terminal voltage at its MPP reference when there is a mismatch between the PV array and inverter reference power (Fig. 5). Switch $S_1$ is operated to charge the battery (buck mode) with the excess power required to maintain the PV array terminal voltage at its MPP when its terminal voltage is greater than its MPP reference, and switch $S_2$ is operated to discharge the battery (boost mode) of the deficit power when the array terminal voltage is less than the its MPP reference. The converter current in charge and discharge mode is given as:

$$i_{chrg} = \frac{(i_{pv} - i_{inv})}{(V_{MPPT} - V_{pv})(K_{ps} + K_{is})},$$

$$i_{dischrg} = \frac{(i_{inv} - i_{pv})}{[1 - (V_{MPPT} - V_{pv})(K_{ps} + K_{is})]},$$

where $i_{chrg}$ and $i_{dischrg}$ are the battery charging and discharge currents, respectively; $V_{MPPT}$, the reference MPP voltage; $V_{pv}$, PV array terminal voltage; $i_{inv}$, inverter input current; $K_{ps}$ and $K_{is}$, PI controller constants. The converter control interrupts battery power flow when the battery state of charge (SOC) is not within operational limits.

D. Voltage controlled dc-ac converter

The experimental setup interfaces the PV array and the battery pack to the grid via individual dc-ac converters. The battery dc-ac converter serves as a charge controller for the battery, which may be controlled with respect to the grid requirement or PV variation. This independent battery setup is capable of performing ancillary function such as: PV power smoothing, frequency regulation, peak shaving, and voltage regulation. The PV inverter is used to maintain the PV array dc voltage at its MPP by varying its ac output power.

The proposed PV system adopts a two level inverter, which is a widely available technology that is relatively low-priced with straightforward control and has been demonstrated to be reliable for small inverters below 1MW. The control block diagram for the voltage oriented control inverter proposed for each section is illustrated in Fig.6. The inverter active ($P_{ac}^*$) and reactive ($Q_{ac}^*$) reference power, may be controlled in different modes such as constant power, PV smoothing
and MPPT to generate corresponding decoupled d-q reference frames current components using:

$$i_d^* = \frac{2}{3} P^*_{ref} v_d, \quad i_q^* = \frac{2}{3} Q^*_{ref} v_q,$$

(5)

where $v_d$, $v_q$ and $i_d^*$, $i_q^*$, are d-q reference frame voltage and currents, respectively. For simplicity, the reference reactive power of the system is maintained at zero. When the battery SOC is above maximum or below minimum, the PV inverter is operated in MPPT mode, which ensures the PV system terminal voltage is equal to its reference value.

$$P^\text{MPPT}_{\text{ref}} = (V_{\text{MPPT}} - V_{\text{pv}}) \left( K_{\text{pi}} + \frac{K_{\text{ii}}}{s} \right),$$

(6)

where $P^\text{MPPT}_{\text{ref}}$ is the real reference power at MPPT; and $K_{\text{pi}}$ and $K_{\text{ii}}$ are the PI controller constants.

IV. SMOOTHING PV POWER

Battery energy storage systems may be employed on a cloudy day, to smooth the PV output power variation, in order to improve the delivered power quality, meet grid ramp rate limitations and limit potential frequency deviations. In the case of multi-MW PV systems, sudden changes in the output power due to cloud movement can potentially induce severe voltage fluctuations leading to grid stability issues [15]. Utility companies with high renewable energy penetration often limit their maximum allowable ramp rate to 10% per minute, based on system’s rated capacity [16]. Different methods of curtailing the PV system real power output ramp rate through modified MPPT algorithms have been proposed [17], [18]. These methods lead to increased computational burdens, reduction in energy produced by the PV system and also require accurate weather forecasting devices. For this approach, the reference real power output of the PV inverter ($P^\text{MA}_{\text{ref}}$) is computed using a moving average (MA) technique to determine the sample mean of the saturated PV output estimated as:

$$P^\text{MA}_{\text{ref}}(t) = \frac{P_{dc}(t) + P_{dc}(t-1) + \ldots + P_{dc}(t - \Delta + 1)}{\Delta},$$

(7)

where $P^\text{MA}_{\text{ref}}$ is the smooth PV power output; $P_{dc}$, the PV system dc output power; $t$, the time and $\Delta$, the number of considered points. For this study, the proposed system operation over a cloudy day was analyzed using irradiance data retrieved from two weather stations on the LG&E and KU 10MW universal solar facility and simulated on a PSCAD$^\text{TM}$/EMTDC$^\text{TM}$ accelerated time scale. The moving average sample data was computed over 1000s, which reduced the maximum PV system ramp rate from 56.31%/min to 4.15%/min maximum (Fig. 8).

The BESS is controlled to supply the power difference between the available PV power and the computed moving average power of the PV system (Fig. 9). The field implemented 1MW/2MWh BESS requires 0.40MWh energy in order to smooth the output power of the PV system while the proposed configuration smooths the PV output power, maintains the PV array at its MPP and provides additional storage energy of 0.74MWh to the battery which may be supplied to the grid at later hours.

V. CONSTANT POWER GENERATION

In the field implemented system considered for this study, the PV system dc to ac rating is approximately 1.4:1. Hence, the PV array is forced to deviate from its MPP to supply the rated ac power when the available solar power is excess,
which might potentially lead to an unstable MPPT operation. The proposed configuration uses the BESS to absorb this surplus power, allowing the PV array to operate at MPP during periods of excess irradiance (Fig. 7). This stored energy may be supplied back to the grid to maintain the PV output power at the rated value during periods of lower irradiance.

The performance of the proposed and field implemented systems were compared via simulation studies, where the irradiance data used for simulation was calculated as an average from two weather stations on the LG&E and KU 10MW universal solar facility on a clear day and the PV cell temperature estimated as a function of the ambient temperature. The field implemented system starts operating at constant power mode when the solar irradiance is greater than 714.28W/m$^2$, making its PV array voltage deviate towards open circuit (Fig. 10) and to the right of its MPP on a power-voltage (P-V) curve, which is unstable for MPPT [15]. The proposed system addresses this limitation by maintaining the PV array at its MPP for all conditions of irradiance. In this approach, the PV system supplies constant power for a longer period of time while ensuring the system ramp rate does not exceed ±10%/min (Fig. 11).

The proposed configuration provides substantial increase in the system capacity factor and inverter utilization factor, by extending the duration of time, which the PV system supplies its rated power. The constant power operation condition is described by:

$$\int_0^T P_{dcS} \, dt = \int_0^T P_{gE} \, dt, \quad (8)$$

$$P_r = \max \left( \left| P_{gS} - P_{dcS} \right| \right), \quad (9)$$

$$E_r = \int_0^T (P_{dcS} - P_{dcE}) \, dt, \quad (10)$$

where $P_{dcS}$ and $P_{dcE}$ are the available PV array dc power for the proposed and field implemented system; $P_{gE}$ is the
inverter output power to the grid; $T$, is the time period considered; $E_r$ and $P_r$, are the battery rated energy and power, respectively. Over the clear day analyzed in this study, the proposed configuration increased the PV system capacity factor by approximately 13.3% with the capacity factor ($CF$) defined as:

$$CF(\%) = \frac{\int_0^T P_s \, dt}{P \cdot T} \cdot 100,$$

where $P$ is the PV system rated output power; and $P_s$ is the ac power output for the concerned system. The field implemented and proposed PV systems produced 9.34MWh and 10.68MWh per section, respectively. This potential 13.3% increase in the PV system output energy, hence capacity factor, can be achieved with a 0.76MW/1.25MWh battery energy storage system connected to each section.

As a design exercise, following the experimental study previously presented, the available 1MW/2MWh battery unit with a larger PV array of 1.54MW on the best weather condition is capable of producing 11.75MWh with the 1MW inverter. Hence, increasing the system capacity factor by 20.4%.

VI. CONCLUSION

This paper proposes a method for integrating BESS into multi-MW PV systems through the use of a bidirectional dc-dc converter, capable of simultaneously operating as a charge controller and MPPT device, and employs distributed energy storage for PV arrays. Advantages include increased total energy output of the PV system, improved control of the PV system dc-link voltage during power curtailment, and higher system efficiency. Furthermore, the new approach provides a relatively low cost for battery integrated PV systems without the need for an additional dc-dc converter for MPPT optimal control.

The detailed technical benefits of the proposed configuration with respect to PV output power smoothing and constant power generation were illustrated through PSCAD/EMTDC simulations of two case studies with irradiance variation for a clear and cloudy day. Ongoing research includes studies for system control variations and potential additional benefits, such as frequency regulation, VAR compensation, voltage support, black start and islanded operation. In order to validate the capabilities and effectiveness of the proposed system and controls, its simulated performance was compared with computed and experimental data from the LG&E and KU E.W. Brown universal solar facility, which houses a 10MW PV farm and a 1MW/2MWh BESS. The results show that for the examples considered, and allowing curtailment adapted to the current power ratings of the system, an increase in the capacity factor of up to 20% is possible.
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